

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the amendments above and the remarks following is respectfully requested.

Claims 16, 25-28, 30-33, 36, and 56-69 are pending in this case. Claims 16, 25, 32, 59 and 61 have been amended. Claims 70-72 have been added.

Enclosed herewith is a Petition To Accept An Unintentionally Delayed Claim Of Benefit Of Earlier Filing Date And Cross-References To Other Applications (37 CFR 1.78(A)(6)).

Specification Objections – Informality

The Examiner has objected to the disclosure because of an informality on page 54, line 17. The informality has been corrected.

Claim Objections – Informality

The Examiner has objected to claims 59-62 because of an informality, in that these claims are dependent on cancelled claim 37. Claim 59 has been amended to read as an independent claim. Claim 60 has been canceled.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112

The Examiner has rejected claims 15, 32-33, 36, and 56-63 under U.S.C. 112 first paragraph as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Claims 15, 32 and 59 have been amended to eliminate ambiguous references to superimposed images, and to specific computing means for calculating pixel values and for controlling elements of the system, as required by the Examiner. Claim 60 has been cancelled.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102-- DuBois

The Examiner has rejected claims 32 and 59-63 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DuBois WO 98/25414. Claims 32 and 59 have amended to introduce elements distinguishing them from DuBois's disclosure. Specifically, division of the display into first and second regions as described constitutes a new element of construction not anticipated by DuBois.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103—DuBois and Kleinberger

The Examiner has rejected claims 16, 25-28, 33, 36, 56-58 and 64-69 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DuBois in view Kleinberger et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,822,117. The Applicant has submitted herewith a petition to amend the list of priority documents from which the instant application derives and from which the instant application claims benefit of priority. U.S. Patent No. 5,822,117 to Kleinberger et al. is included in the amended list of priority documents, rendering moot the Examiner's rejection on these grounds.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103—Kleinberger and Omar

The Examiner has rejected claim 30 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DuBois in view Kleinberger et al. and further in view of Omar et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,449,090 B1.

U.S. Patent No. 5,822,117 to Kleinberger et al. is included in the amended list of priority documents provided herewith, rendering moot the Examiner's rejection on these grounds.

New Claims

Claims 70-72 have been added. Support for claims 70-71 is to be found in the discussions of Figures 14-18 of the instant application, including references to U.S. Pat. No. 5,822,117 to Kleinberger et al. and PCT Publication WO97/26577, wherein use of data derived from an eye-tracking sensor to control aspects of functionality of a display are presented in detail. The contents of new claim 72 have previously been considered by the Examiner in the context of claim 25 as previously presented.

In view of the above amendments and remarks it is respectfully submitted that the pending claims are now in condition for allowance. Prompt Notice of Allowance is respectfully and earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Martin D. Moynihan
Registration No. 40,338

Date: July 31, 2006

Enclosed:

- 1) Petition For Extension
- 2) Petition To Accept An Unintentionally Delayed Claim Of Benefit Of Earlier Filing Date And Cross-References To Other Applications (37 CFR 1.78(A)(6))